

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,130	09/21/2001		David A. Monroe	081829.00026	4512
53445	7590	03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
ROBERT C		SS	PEESO, TI	PEESO, THOMAS R	
P.O. BOX 903 HUMBLE, TX 77347				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2132	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/966,130	MONROE, DAVID A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas R. Peeso	2132				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NO   - Failu   - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-83</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,20,22,26,28 and 31</u> is/are rejected.						
_	Claim(s) <u>2-19,21,23-25,27,29,30,32-83</u> is/are o	•					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	f.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{01082002}$ is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	tig)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,130

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 74, 75, 78-80, 82 and 32 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial 'duplicate of claims 20, 21, 26, 27, 33, 43, and 44, respectively. In particular, the "sensor" of the new 'claims appears to be the same as the "sensor component" of the original claims. When two claims in jan application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as (being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim--See MPEP § 706.03(k).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1, 20, 22, 26, 28, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,971,597 to Baldwin et al.

As per claim 1, Baldwin et al teach an appliance [multifunction network sensor system 10, at figure 1, eland column 3, lines 40-51] for a network based security system [at column 2, lines 18-20], comprising:

a. sensor [multifunction sensors 12, at figure 1 and column 3, lines 42-45] for generating a signal in response to a condition present at the sensor component [at column 7, lines 37-40];

As per claims 20, 22, 26, 28 and 31, Baldwin et al. disclose the limitations of these claims (see fig. 10).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,130

Art Unit: 2132

b. a processor [network communications and control processor 20, at figure 1] for generating a digital j output signal corresponding to the sensor output signal [at column 6, lines 34-40]; and

c. a network interface [network transceiver 22, at figure 1] for transmitting the digital output signal via a digital network [data communication network 34, at figure 1, column 4, lines 21-22, and column 8, lines 31-36, which describes that the data (digital signal) is transmitted over the data transmission network using the appropriate protocol for the data transmission].

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-19, 21, 23-25, 27, 29, 30, 33-83 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 to 3:30. The central FAX number for the office is 571 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,130

Art Unit: 2132

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner Art Unit 2132

\*\*\*

August 5, 2005